

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
**PCT/AU2004/001355**

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> : G06F 17/60 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) DWPI, USPTO, PCT (funding, finance, trade credit, factoring, loan, lend, installment, guarantor, etc.)		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 2000/033158 A2 (TREIDER et al), 8 June 2000 the whole document	1-12
X	WO 2002/031740 A2 (ECREDIT.COM, INC.), 18 April 2002 the whole document	1-12
X	US 6,587,841 B1 (DEFRANCESCO et al), 1 July 2003 the whole document	1-12
X	EP 1 207 479 A1 (INTELLECT INVESTMENTS B.V.), 22 May 2002 the whole document	1-12
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 5 January 2005		Date of mailing of the international search report 13 JAN 2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer  <b>MATTHEW HOLLINGWORTH</b> Telephone No : (02) 6283 2024

Best Available Copy

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**International application No.  
**PCT/AU2004/001355**

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2002/0082985 A1 (MACKAY), 27 June 2002 the whole document	1-12
X, P	WO 2004/051394 A2 (EFFICIENT FINANCE LTD.), 17 June 2004 the whole document	1-12

Best Available Copy

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001355

### Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)

### Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

(See extra sheet.)

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☒ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001355

### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box No:

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are two inventions:

1. Claims 1-8 and 10-12, directed to funding systems. The synchronisation of local data with a central processor is considered to be a first "special technical feature."
2. Claim 9, also directed to a funding system. The use by the provider of fund from a source guaranteed by the merchant is seen to be a second "special technical feature."

Since the abovementioned groups of claims do not share any technical features, a "technical relationship" between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2, does not exist. Accordingly, the international application does not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.

However, since both inventions were able to be covered by the one search, an additional search fee is not warranted.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001355

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report			Patent Family Member				
WO	0033158	AU	23492/00	CA	2351626	EP	1145097
WO	0231740	AU	45705/01				
US	6587841	US	5878403	US	2004078320	US	2004138992
		US	2004138993	US	2004138994	US	2004138997
EP	1207479	NL	1016625	US	2002111900		
US	2002082985	NONE					
WO	2004051394	NONE					
Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.							
END OF ANNEX							